

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/811,327 | 03/25/2004 | Mark A. Hartenstein | 12.02 | 1373 |
| 70524 7550 10/22/2008 Bachand Law Office P.O. Box 54244 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | PHAM, KHANH B | |
| Phoenix, AZ 8 | 5078 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2166 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 10/22/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/811.327 HARTENSTEIN ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Khanh B. Pham 2166 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Khanh B. Pham, Examiner. (3) (2) William Bachand, Applicant's Representative. (4)____. Date of Interview: 20 October 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 21. Identification of prior art discussed: Raia (2005/0049903). Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discuss proposed amendment to claim 21 filed 10/14/2008. The examiner agreed that the proposed amendment, specifically step d and f of claim 21, appears to overcome prior of record. However, further search and consideration would be required upon receiving official amendment. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Khanh B. Pham/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2166 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office